## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## Docket No. 36040

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 685
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: November 20, 2009
v.	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
NATHAN B. PUFALL,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court o Bannock County. Hon. Peter D. Mo	f the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, cDermott, District Judge.
Judgment of conviction and concur	rrent unified sentences of fifteen years, with a

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Sarah E. Tompkins, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

minimum period of confinement of five years on each count, for two counts of

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

robbery, affirmed.

Nathan B. Pufall pled guilty to two counts of robbery. Idaho Code §§ 18-6501, 18-6502. The district court sentenced Pufall to concurrent unified sentences of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years on each count. Pufall appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Pufall's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.